. 5

3

4

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID BATISTE, Plaintiff, v. CITY OF RICHMOND, et al., Defendants.

Case No. <u>22-cv-01188-AMO</u>

VERDICT FORM

We the Jury in the above-entitled case unanimously find as follows:

A. Existence of Racially Hostile Work Environment (See Instructions 6-10.)

1.	Did David Bauste prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subject to a nost	ne,
	intimidating, offensive, oppressive, or abusive work environment, based on race, at the Ci	ity of
	Richmond?YesNo	

If your answer is yes, proceed to Question 2. If your answer is no, do not answer any further questions and proceed to the end of this form to sign and date it.

B. Time Period of Racially Hostile Work Environment

2.	Did David Batiste prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subject to any racially
	harassing conduct between August 24, 2014 and August 24, 2015?
	Yes No

If your answer is yes, proceed to Question 3. If your answer is no, do not answer any further questions and proceed to the end of this form to sign and date it.

· ·
3. Did David Batiste prove by a preponderance of the evidence that he was subject to any racially
harassing conduct before August 24, 2014?
Yes No
If your answer is yes, proceed to Question 4. If your answer is no, proceed to Question 5.
4. Did David Batiste prove by a preponderance of the evidence that racially harassing conduct
occurring before August 24, 2014 was a continuing violation with racially harassing conduct
that occurred between August 24, 2014 and August 24, 2015? (See Instruction 5.)
Yes No
If your answer is yes, proceed to Question 5. If your answer is no, proceed to Question 5 and
answer only with regard to racially harassing conduct occurring between August 24, 2014 and
August 24, 2015.
C. Employer Liability (See Instructions 6, 8-10.)
5. Did David Batiste prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a supervisor committed
racially harassing conduct?
Yes No
If your answer is yes, proceed to Question 6. If your answer is no, proceed to Question 7.
6. If racially harassing conduct was engaged in by a supervisor, did the City of Richmond prove
by a preponderance of the evidence that it took reasonable steps to prevent and correct
workplace harassment, that David Batiste unreasonably failed to use the City's processes for
addressing workplace harassment, and that Mr. Batiste could have prevented some or all of his
harm had he used those processes. (See also Instruction 12.)
Yes No
Whether your answer is yes or no, proceed to Question 7.

7. If racially harassing conduct was committed by non-supervisory co-workers, did David Batiste
prove by a preponderance of the evidence that City of Richmond supervisors or agents knew
or should have known of the racial harassment before his 2015 EEOC complaint and failed to
take immediate and appropriate corrective action?
Yes No.
Whether your answer is yes or no, proceed to Question 8.
D. Hugo Mendoza Liability (Instructions 7-9, 11.)
8. If you answered yes to Question 1, did David Batiste prove by a preponderance of the
evidence that Hugo Mendoza personally engaged in racially harassing conduct which created a
hostile work environment?
Yes No
E. Damages (Instructions 12-16.)
If you answered yes to Questions 1 and 2 and 5, 7 and/or 8, answer the following:
9. Did David Batiste prove by a preponderance of the evidence that racial harassment was a
substantial factor in causing him harm?
Yes No
10. What is the total amount of damages that David Batiste proved by a preponderance of the
evidence for racial harassment?
\$
\cdot

11. If you answered yes to Question 8, what amount of the damages identified in Question 10 did	
David Batiste prove by a preponderance of the evidence were caused by Hugo Mendoza.	
\$	
12. If you answered yes to Question 6, of the total damages identified in Question 10, what	
amount would not have occurred if David Batiste had timely used the City of Richmond's	
complaint processes?	
\$	
13. Did Defendant City and/or Defendant Mendoza prove by a preponderance of the evidence that	ıt
David Batiste failed to mitigate his damages?	
Yes No	
14. If your answer to Question 13 is yes, state the amount of damages identified in Question 10	
that could have been mitigated by David Batiste.	
\$	
*	
Once you have completed the entire verdict form, please sign and date it below. Then let	

the court attendant know that you are ready to present your verdict in the courtroom.

9/20/2024 Dated:

Jury Foreperson